arXiv:1110.2725v2 [math.CO] 13 Oct 2011

arxiv v2: October 13, 2011

TURÁN'S PROBLEM AND RAMSEY NUMBERS FOR TREES

Zhi-Hong Sun[1], Lin-Lin Wang[2] and Yi-Li Wu[3]

[1]School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaiyin Normal University,
Huaian, Jiangsu 223001, PR China
Email: zhihongsun@yahoo.com
Homepage: http://www.hytc.edu.cn/xsjl/szh

[2]Center for Combinatorics, Nankai
University, Tianjin 300071, PR China
Email: wanglinlin_1986@yahoo.cn

[3]School of Mathematical Sciences,
Huaiyin Normal University,
Huaian, Jiangsu 223001, PR China
Email: yiliwu@126.com

Abstract. Let $T_n^1 = (V, E_1)$ and $T_n^2 = (V, E_2)$ be the trees on n vertices with $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$, $E_1 = \{v_0v_1, \ldots, v_0v_{n-3}, v_{n-4}v_{n-2}, v_{n-3}v_{n-1}\}$, and $E_2 = \{v_0v_1, \ldots, v_0v_{n-3}, v_{n-3}v_{n-2}, v_{n-3}\ v_{n-1}\}$. In the paper, for $p \ge n \ge 5$ we obtain explicit formulas for $ex(p; T_n^1)$ and $ex(p; T_n^2)$, where $ex(p; L)$ denote the maximal number of edges in a graph of order p not containing any graphs in L. Let $r(G_1, G_2)$ be the Ramsey number of the two graphs G_1 and G_2. In the paper we also obtain some explicit formulas for $r(T_m, T_n^i)$, where $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and T_m is a tree on m vertices with $\Delta(T_m) \le m - 3$.

MSC: Primary 05C35, Secondary 05C05
Keywords: Ramsey number, tree, Turán problem

1. Introduction.

In the paper, all graphs are simple graphs. For a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ let $e(G) = |E(G)|$ be the number of edges in G and let $\Delta(G)$ be the maximal degree of G. For a forbidden graph L, let $ex(p; L)$ denote the maximal number of edges in a graph of order p not containing any copies of L. The corresponding Turán's problem is to evaluate $ex(p; L)$. For a graph G of order p, if G does not contain any copies of L and

---

The first author is supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (grant no. 10971078).

$e(G)=ex(p;L)$, we say that G is an extremal graph. In the paper we also use $Ex(p;L)$ to denote the set of extremal graphs of order p not containing L as a subgraph.

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers. Let $p,n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $p\ge n\ge 2$. For a given tree T_n on n vertices, it is difficult to determine the value of $ex(p;T_n)$. The famous Erdös-Sós conjecture asserts that $ex(p;T_n)\le\frac{(n-2)p}{2}$. For the progress on the Erdös-Sós conjecture, see for example [Si, W]. Write $p=k(n-1)+r$, where $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $r\in\{0,1,\ldots,n-2\}$. Let P_n be the path on n vertices. In [FS] Faudree and Schelp showed that

$$ex(p;P_n)=k\binom{n-1}{2}+\binom{r}{2}=\frac{(n-2)p-r(n-1-r)}{2}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $K_{1,n-1}$ denote the unique tree on n vertices with $\Delta(K_{1,n-1})=n-1$, and let T'_n denote the unique tree on n vertices with $\Delta(T'_n)=n-2$. For $n\ge 4$ let $T^*_n=(V,E)$ be the tree on n vertices with $V=\{v_0,v_1,\ldots,v_{n-1}\}$ and $E=\{v_0v_1,\ldots,v_0v_{n-3},v_{n-3}v_{n-2},v_{n-2}v_{n-1}\}$. In [SW] we determine $ex(p;K_{1,n-1})$, $ex(p;T'_n)$ and $ex(p;T^*_n)$. For $i=1,2$ let $T^i_n=(V,E_i)$ be the tree on n vertices with

$$\begin{aligned}
V&=\{v_0,v_1,\ldots,v_{n-1}\},\\
E_1&=\{v_0v_1,\ldots,v_0v_{n-3},v_{n-4}v_{n-2},v_{n-3}v_{n-1}\},\\
E_2&=\{v_0v_1,\ldots,v_0v_{n-3},v_{n-3}v_{n-2},v_{n-3}v_{n-1}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the paper, for $p\ge n\ge 8$ we obtain explicit formulas for $ex(p;T^1_n)$ and $ex(p;T^2_n)$, see Theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

For a graph G, as usual $\overline{G}$ denotes the complement of G. Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs. The Ramsey number $r(G_1,G_2)$ is the smallest positive integer n such that, for every graph G with n vertices, either G contains a copy of G_1 or else $\overline{G}$ contains a copy of G_2.

Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $n\ge 6$. If the Erdös-Sós conjecture is true, it is known that $r(T_n,T_n)\le 2n-2$ (see [R]). Let $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$. In 1973 Burr and Roberts[BR] showed that for $m,n\ge 3$,

$$r(K_{1,m-1},K_{1,n-1})=\begin{cases} m+n-3 & \text{if } 2\nmid mn,\\ m+n-2 & \text{if } 2\mid mn.\end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

In 1995, Guo and Volkmann[GV] proved that for $n>m\ge 4$,

$$r(K_{1,m-1},T'_n)=\begin{cases} m+n-3 & \text{if } 2\mid m(n-1),\\ m+n-4 & \text{if } 2\nmid m(n-1).\end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

Recently the first author evaluated the Ramsey number $r(T_m,T^*_n)$ for $T_m\in\{P_m,K_{1,m-1},T'_m,T^*_m\}$. In particular, he proved that ([Su]) for $n>m\ge 6$,

$$r(K_{1,m-1},T^*_n)=\begin{cases} m+n-3 & \text{if } m-1\mid (n-3),\\ m+n-4 & \text{if } m-1\nmid (n-3),\end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. In the paper, using the formula for $ex(p; T_n^i)$ and the method in [Su] we evaluate the Ramsey number $r(T_m, T_n^i)$ for $T_m \in \{K_{1,m-1}, T'_m, T^*_m, T^j_m\}$. In particular, we have the following typical results:

$$\begin{aligned}
&r(T_n^i, T_n^j) = 2n - 6 - (1 - (-1)^n)/2,\ r(P_n, T_n^j) = 2n - 7 \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 17,\\
&r(T_n^i, T'_n) = r(T_n^i, T^*_n) = 2n - 5 \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 8,\\
&r(K_{1,m-1}, T_n^i) = m + n - 4 \quad \text{for} \quad n > m \ge 7 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \mid mn,\\
&r(T_m^i, T_n^j) = m + n - 5 \quad \text{for} \quad m \ge 8,\ n \ge (m-3)^2 + 3 \quad \text{and} \quad m - 1 \nmid (n - 4),\\
&r(T'_m, T_n^i) = \begin{cases} m + n - 4 & \text{if } m - 1 \mid (n-4),\\ m + n - 6 & \text{if } n = m + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod 2,\\ m + n - 5 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \text{for} \quad n > m \ge 16.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition to the above notation, throughout the paper we also use the following notation: $[x]$—the greatest integer not exceeding x, $d(v)$—the degree of the vertex v in a graph, $\Gamma(v)$—the set of vertices adjacent to the vertex v, $d(u, v)$—the distance between the two vertices u and v in a graph, K_n—the complete graph on n vertices, $K_{m,n}$—the complete bipartite graph with m and n vertices in the bipartition, $G[V_0]$—the subgraph of G induced by vertices in the set V_0, $G - V_0$—the subgraph of G obtained by deleting vertices in V_0 and all edges incident with them, $e(VV')$—the number of edges with one endpoint in V and another endpoint in V'.

2. Evaluation of $ex(p; T_n^1)$.

Lemma 2.1 ([SW, Theorem 2.1]). *Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \ge n - 1 \ge 1$. Then $ex(p; K_{1,n-1}) = [\frac{(n-2)p}{2}]$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \ge n \ge 7$ and $G \in Ex(p; T_n^1)$. Suppose that G is connected. Then $\Delta(G) = n - 4$ and $e(G) = [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}]$.*

Proof. Since a graph does not contain $K_{1,n-3}$ implies that the graph does not contain T_n^1, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$e(G) = ex(p; T_n^1) \ge ex(p; K_{1,n-3}) = \Big[\frac{(n-4)p}{2}\Big]. \tag{2.1}$$

If $\Delta(G) \le n - 5$, using Euler's theorem we see that $e(G) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) \le \frac{(n-5)p}{2}$. This together with (2.1) yields $\frac{(n-4)p-1}{2} \le [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}] \le e(G) \le \frac{(n-5)p}{2}$. This is impossible. Hence $\Delta(G) \ge n - 4$. Now we show that $\Delta(G) = n - 4$.

Suppose $q \ge n$ and $q = k(n-1)+r$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$. Then clearly $kK_{n-1} \cup K_r$ does not contain any copies of T_n^1 and so $ex(q; T_n^1) \ge e(kK_{n-1} \cup K_r) = \frac{k(n-1)(n-2)}{2} + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} = \frac{(n-2)q - r(n-1-r)}{2} \ge \frac{(n-2)q - (\frac{n-1}{2})^2}{2}$. Since $(n-6)q \ge (n-6)n \ge (\frac{n-1}{2})^2 - 2$ we see that

$$ex(q; T_n^1) \ge e(kK_{n-1} \cup K_r) \ge \frac{(n-2)q - (\frac{n-1}{2})^2}{2} \ge 2q - 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Suppose $v_0 \in V(G), d(v_0) = \Delta(G) = m$ and $\Gamma(v_0) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. If $m = p-1$, as G does not contain T_n^1 as a subgraph, we see that $G[v_1, \dots, v_m]$ does not contain $2K_2$ as a subgraph and hence $e(G[v_1, \dots, v_m]) \le m-1$. Therefore

$$e(G) = d(v_0) + e(G[v_1, \dots, v_m]) \le m + m - 1 = 2p - 3. \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.2), for $m = p-1$ we have $e(G) = ex(p; T_n^1) \ge 2p-1$. This is a contradiction. Hence $m < p-1$. Suppose that $u_1, \dots, u_t$ are all vertices in G such that $d(u_1, v_0) = \cdots = d(u_t, v_0) = 2$. Then $t \ge 1$. Assume $u_1v_1 \in E(G)$ with no loss of generality.

Suppose $m \ge n-2$. As G does not contain any copies of T_n^1, we see that $\{v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ is an independent set, $u_iv_j \notin E(G)$ for any $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, t\}$ and $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, m\}$, and $u_iv_1 \in E(G)$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Set $V_1 = \{v_0, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$. Then $e(G[V_1]) = m-1$. If u_1 is adjacent to at least two vertices in $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$, then $v_1v_j \notin E(G)$ for any $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$. If v_1 is adjacent to at least two vertices in $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m\}$, then $u_1v_j \notin E(G)$ for any $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$. Hence there are at most $m-1$ edges with one endpoint in V_1 and another endpoint in $G - V_1$. Therefore,

$$e(G) \le e(G[V_1]) + m - 1 + e(G - V_1) \le 2m - 2 + e(G - V_1). \tag{2.4}$$

For $m \in \{n-2, n-1\}$ let $G_1 = K_m$. Then clearly $e(G_1) = \frac{m(m-1)}{2} \ge 2m-1$. For $m = k(n-1) + r \ge n$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le r \le n-2$ let $G_1 = kK_{n-1} \cup K_r$. Then G_1 does not contain any copies of T_n^1 and $e(G_1) \ge 2m-1$ by (2.2). Thus, by (2.4) we have $e(G) < 2m - 1 + e(G - V_1) \le e(G_1 \cup (G - V_1))$. This contradicts the fact $G \in Ex(p; T_n^1)$.

Suppose $m = n-3$ and $d(v_1) = n-3$. We claim that $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_t\}$. Otherwise, there exists $u_j \in V(G) (1 \le j \le t)$ and $w \in V(G)$ such that $u_jw \in E(G)$ and $d(v_0, w) = 3$. As $d(v_1) = n-3$, we see that the induced subgraph of $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-3}, u_j, w\}$ contains a copy of T_n^1. This contradicts the assumption $G \in Ex(p; T_n^1)$. Hence the claim is true and so $|V(G)| = p = n-2+t$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, n-3$ we have $u_iv_j \notin E(G)$ and so $t + 1 \le d(v_1) = n-3$. Therefore $2 \le t \le n-4$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[v_0, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-3}]) + d(v_1) + e(G[u_1, \dots, u_t]) \\ &\le \binom{n-3}{2} + n - 3 + \binom{t}{2} = \binom{n-2}{2} + \binom{t}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $K_{n-1} \cup K_{t-1}$ does not contain T_n^1 and

$$e(K_{n-1} \cup K_{t-1}) = \binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{t-1}{2} = \binom{n-2}{2} + \binom{t}{2} + n - 1 - t > e(G).$$

This contradicts the assumption $G \in Ex(n-2+t, T_n^1)$. Hence for $m = n-3$ we have $d(v_1) \le n-4$.

Now suppose $m = n-3$ and $d(v_1) \leq n-4$. If $t = 1$, on setting $V_2 = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-3}, u_1\}$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[v_0, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-3}]) + d(v_1) + d(u_1) - 1 + e(G - V_2) \\ &\leq \binom{n-3}{2} + n - 4 + n - 4 + e(G - V_2) \\ &= \frac{n^2 - 3n - 4}{2} + e(G - V_2) < e(K_{n-1} \cup (G - V_2)). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the assumption $G \in Ex(p, T_n^1)$. Hence $t \geq 2$. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, n-3$ we have $u_i v_j \notin E(G)$ and $u_1 v_1, \dots, u_t v_1 \in E(G)$. Let $V_3 = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-3}\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= d(v_1) + e(G[v_0, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-3}]) + e(G - V_3) \\ &\leq n - 4 + \binom{n-3}{2} + e(G - V_3) = \frac{n^2 - 5n + 4}{2} + e(G - V_3) \\ &< e(K_{n-2} \cup (G - V_3)). \end{aligned}$$

Since G is an extremal graph, this is a contradiction.

Summarizing all the above we obtain $\Delta(G) = n - 4$ and so $e(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) \leq \frac{(n-4)p}{2}$. This together with (2.1) yields $e(G) = [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}]$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $n, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1 < n-1$ and $n_2 < n-1$.*

(i) *If $n_1 + n_2 < n$, then $\binom{n_1}{2} + \binom{n_2}{2} < \binom{n_1+n_2}{2}$.*

(ii) *If $n_1 + n_2 \geq n$, then $\binom{n_1}{2} + \binom{n_2}{2} < \binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{n_1+n_2-n+1}{2}$.*

Proof. Since

$$\binom{n_1}{2} + \binom{n_2}{2} = \frac{(n_1+n_2)(n_1+n_2-1) - 2n_1n_2}{2} < \binom{n_1+n_2}{2},$$

we see that (i) holds.

Now suppose $n_1 + n_2 \geq n$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1 + r$. Then $1 \leq r < min\{n_1, n_2\}$. It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} &\binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{r}{2} - \binom{n_1}{2} - \binom{n_2}{2} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2) + r(r-1)}{2} - \frac{(n_1+n_2)(n_1+n_2-1) - 2n_1n_2}{2} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)(n-2) + r(r-1) - (n-1+r)(n-2+r)}{2} + n_1n_2 \\ &= n_1n_2 - (n-1)r = n_1n_2 - (n-1)(n_1 + n_2 - (n-1)) \\ &= (n-1-n_1)(n-1-n_2) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (ii) is true and the lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that G is a connected graph of order p. If $p \geq 6$ and G does not contain any copies of T_6^1, then $e(G) \leq 2p-3$.*

Proof. Suppose $v_0 \in V(G)$, $d(v_0) = \Delta(G) = m$ and $\Gamma(v_0) = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. If $\Delta(G) = m \leq 3$, using Euler's theorem we see that $e(G) \leq \frac{3p}{2} \leq 2p-3$. From now on we assume $\Delta(G) = m \geq 4$. If $d(v) \leq 2$ for all $v \in V(G) - \{v_0\}$, then

$$e(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d(v) \leq \frac{1}{2}\big(d(v_0) + \sum_{v \neq v_0} d(v)\big) \leq \frac{1}{2}\big(m + 2(p-1)\big) < 2p-3.$$

So the result is true. Now we assume $d(v) \geq 3$ for some $v \in V(G) - \{v_0\}$. We may choose a vertex $u_0 \in V(G)$ so that $u_0 \neq v_0$, $d(u_0) \geq 3$ and $d(u_0, v_0)$ is as small as possible.

We first assume $d(u_0, v_0) = 1$ and $u_0 = v_1$ with no loss of generality. Suppose $\Gamma(v_1) \subset \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. Since $d(v_1) \geq 3$ we see that $V(G) = \{v_0, \dots, v_m\}$. As $p \geq 6$ we have $m \geq 5$. Since G does not contain any copies of T_6^1, we see that $G[v_1, \dots, v_m]$ does not contain any copies of $2K_2$ and so $e(G) \leq d(v_0) + m - 1 = 2m-1 \leq 2(m+1) - 3 = 2p-3$. Now assume $\Gamma(v_1) - \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m\} = \{w_1, \dots, w_t\}$. Then clearly $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$. For $t \geq 2$, we have $v_i w_j \notin E(G)$ for any $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, m\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Thus

$$e(G) \leq d(v_0) + d(v_1) - 1 + 1 \leq 2m < 2(m+1+t) - 3 = 2p-3.$$

Now assume $t = 1$. Then $v_1 v_i \in E(G)$ for some $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, m\}$ since $d(v_1) \geq 3$. If v_i is the only adjacent vertex of v_1 in the set $\{v_2, \dots, v_m\}$, then $v_j w_1 \notin E(G)$ for $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, m\} - \{i\}$. Hence $e(G) \leq m + 3 < 2(m+2) - 3 = 2p-3$. If there are at least two vertices in $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ adjacent to v_1, then $v_i w_1 \notin E(G)$ for any $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$. Hence $e(G) \leq d(v_0) + d(v_1) - 1 \leq 2m - 1 < 2(m+2) - 3 = 2p-3$.

Next we assume $d(u_0, v_0) = 2$. If $\Gamma(u_0) \subseteq \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$, then $V(G) = \{v_0, \dots, v_m, u_0\}$, $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is an independent set and so $e(G) \leq m + m < 2(m+2) - 3 = 2p-3$. If $\Gamma(u_0) - \{v_2, \dots, v_m\} = \{v_1, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$, we see that $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m, u_0, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$, and G is a tree or a tree obtained by adding an edge to a tree. Hence $e(G) \leq p < 2p-3$.

Finally we assume $d(u_0, v_0) \geq 3$. Suppose $v_0 v_1 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_k u_0$ is the shortest path in G between v_0 and u_0, and $\Gamma(u_0) = \{w_1, \dots, w_t, u_k\}$. Since G is connected and G does not contain any copies of T_6^1, it is easily seen that $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_k, u_0, w_1, \dots, w_t\}$, $d(v_2) = \cdots = d(v_m) = 1$ and $w_1, \dots, w_t$ induce at most one edge. Clearly G is a tree or a graph obtained by adding an edge to a tree. Hence $e(G) \leq p < 2p-3$.

Summarizing all the above we prove the lemma.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq n-1 \geq 4$ and $p = k(n-1) + r$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-2\}$. Then*

$$ex(p; T_n^1) = \max\Big\{\Big[\frac{(n-2)p}{2}\Big] - (n-1+r), \frac{(n-2)p - r(n-1-r)}{2}\Big\}$$
$$= \begin{cases} [\frac{(n-2)p}{2}] - (n-1+r) & \textit{if } n \geq 16 \textit{ and } 3 \leq r \leq n-6 \textit{ or if} \\ & \quad 13 \leq n \leq 15 \textit{ and } 4 \leq r \leq n-7, \\ \frac{(n-2)p - r(n-1-r)}{2} & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Clearly $ex(n-1;T_n^1)=e(K_{n-1})=\frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$. Thus the result is true for $p=n-1$. From now on we assume $p\ge n$. Since $T_5^1\cong P_5$, by (1.1) we obtain the result in the case $n=5$. Now we assume $n\ge 6$. Suppose $G\in Ex(p;T_n^1)$ and $G_1,\dots,G_t$ are all components of G with $|V(G_i)|=p_i$ and $p_1\le p_2\le\cdots\le p_t$. Then clearly $G_i\in Ex(p_i;T_n^1)$ for $i=1,2,\dots,t$.

We first consider the case $n=6$. If $p_i\le 5$, then clearly $G_i\cong K_{p_i}$ and $e(G_i)=\binom{p_i}{2}$. If $p_i\ge 6$ and $p_i=5k_i+r_i$ with $k_i\in\mathbb{N}$ and $0\le r_i\le 4$, by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$e(G_i)\le 2p_i-3\le 2p_i-\frac{r_i(5-r_i)}{2}=e(k_iK_5\cup K_{r_i}).$$

Since $k_iK_5\cup K_{r_i}$ does not contain any copies of T_6^1 and $G_i\in Ex(p_i;T_6^1)$, we see that $e(G_i)\ge e(k_iK_5\cup K_{r_i})$ and so $e(G_i)=e(k_iK_5\cup K_{r_i})$. Therefore, there is a graph $G'\in Ex(p;T_6^1)$ such that $G'=a_1K_1\cup a_2K_2\cup a_3K_3\cup a_4K_4\cup a_5K_5$, where $a_1,\dots,a_5$ are nonnegative integers. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}&e(a_1K_1\cup a_2K_2\cup a_3K_3\cup a_4K_4)\\&=2a_2+3a_3+6a_4\le 2(a_1+2a_2+3a_3+4a_4)-\frac{r(5-r)}{2}=(k-a_5)\binom{5}{2}+\binom{r}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$ex(p;T_6^1)=e(G')=e(a_1K_1\cup a_2K_2\cup a_3K_3\cup a_4K_4)+e(a_5K_5)\le k\binom{5}{2}+\binom{r}{2}.$$

Since $kK_5\cup K_r$ does not contain any copies of T_6^1, we also have $ex(p;T_6^1)\ge e(kK_5\cup K_r)=k\binom{5}{2}+\binom{r}{2}$. Thus $ex(p;T_6^1)=e(kK_5\cup K_r)=k\binom{5}{2}+\binom{r}{2}=2p-\frac{r(5-r)}{2}$. This proves the result in the case $n=6$.

From now on we assume $n\ge 7$. If $t=1$, then G is connected. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$e(G)=\Big[\frac{(n-4)p}{2}\Big]\quad\text{for}\quad t=1. \tag{2.5}$$

Now we assume $t\ge 2$. We claim that $p_i\ge n-1$ for $i\ge 2$. Otherwise, $p_1\le p_2<n-1$ and $G_1\cup G_2\cong K_{p_1}\cup K_{p_2}$. If $p_1+p_2<n$, by Lemma 2.3(i) we have

$$e(G_1\cup G_2)=e(K_{p_1}\cup K_{p_2})=\binom{p_1}{2}+\binom{p_2}{2}<\binom{p_1+p_2}{2}=e(K_{p_1+p_2}).$$

Since $K_{p_1+p_2}$ does not contain T_n^1 and $G_1\cup G_2\in Ex(p_1+p_2;T_n^1)$ we get a contradiction. Hence $p_1+p_2\ge n$. Using Lemma 2.3(ii) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}e(G_1\cup G_2)=e(K_{p_1}\cup K_{p_2})&=\binom{p_1}{2}+\binom{p_2}{2}\\&<\binom{n-1}{2}+\binom{p_1+p_2-n+1}{2}=e(K_{n-1}\cup K_{p_1+p_2-n+1}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_1 \le p_2 < n-1$, we have $p_1+p_2-n+1 < n-1$. Hence $K_{n-1} \cup K_{p_1+p_2-n+1}$ does not contain T_n^1. As $G_1 \cup G_2$ is an extremal graph without T_n^1, this is a contradiction. Thus, the claim is true.

Next we claim that $p_i \le n-1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$. If $p_t \ge p_{t-1} \ge n$, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$e(G_{t-1} \cup G_t) = e(G_{t-1}) + e(G_t) = \left[\frac{(n-4)p_{t-1}}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}\right] \le \left[\frac{(n-4)(p_{t-1}+p_t)}{2}\right].$$

Let $H \in Ex(p_{t-1}+p_t-n+1; K_{1,n-3})$. Then $e(H) = [\frac{(n-4)(p_{t-1}+p_t-n+1)}{2}]$ by Lemma 2.1. Clearly $K_{n-1} \cup H$ does not contain any copies of T_n^1 and

$$\begin{aligned} e(K_{n-1} \cup H) = e(K_{n-1}) + e(H) &= \binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(p_{t-1}+p_t-n+1)}{2}\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{(n-4)(p_{t-1}+p_t)}{2}\right] + n - 1 > e(G_{t-1} \cup G_t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_{t-1} \cup G_t \in Ex(p_{t-1}+p_t; T_n^1)$, we get a contradiction. Hence $p_1 \le p_2 \le \cdots \le p_{t-1} \le n-1$. Combining this with the previous claim $p_t \ge \cdots \ge p_2 \ge n-1$ we see that

$$p_1 \le n-1, \quad p_2 = \cdots = p_{t-1} = n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_t \ge n-1. \tag{2.6}$$

As G is an extremal graph, we must have

$$G_1 \cong K_{p_1}, \quad G_2 \cong K_{n-1}, \dots, G_{t-1} \cong K_{n-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

If $p_t = n-1$, then $G_t \cong K_{n-1}$ and $p_1 \le p_t \le n-1$. By (2.7) we have $G \cong K_{p_1} \cup (t-1)K_{n-1} \cong kK_{n-1} \cup K_r$. Thus,

$$e(G) = k\binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{r}{2} = \frac{(n-2)p - r(n-1-r)}{2} \text{ for } t \ge 2 \text{ and } p_t = n-1. \tag{2.8}$$

Now we assume $p_1 \le n-1$ and $p_t \ge n$. By Lemma 2.2, $e(G_t) = [\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}]$. If $p_1 \le n-4$, then clearly $G_1 \cong K_{p_1}$ and so $e(G_1) = e(K_{p_1}) = \binom{p_1}{2}$. Let $H_1 \in Ex(p_1 + p_t; K_{1,n-3})$. Then H_1 does not contain T_n^1 as a subgraph. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(H_1) = \left[\frac{(n-4)(p_1+p_t)}{2}\right] &\ge \left[\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{(n-4)p_1}{2}\right] \\ &\ge \left[\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}\right] + \frac{(n-4)(p_1-1)}{2} + 1 \\ &> \left[\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}\right] + \frac{p_1(p_1-1)}{2} = e(G_1 \cup G_t). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact $G_1 \cup G_t \in Ex(p_1 + p_t; T_n^1)$. Hence $n-3 \le p_1 \le n-1$.

For $p_1 = n-2$ and $p_t \ge n$, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$e(G_1 \cup G_t) = e(G_1) + e(G_t) = \binom{n-2}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}\right] < \binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(p_t-1)}{2}\right].$$

Let $H_2 \in Ex(p_t - 1; K_{1,n-3})$. Then $K_{n-1} \cup H_2$ does not contain any copies of T_n^1 and $e(K_{n-1} \cup H_2) = \binom{n-1}{2} + [\frac{(n-4)(p_t-1)}{2}] > e(G_1 \cup G_t)$ by Lemma 2.1. This contradicts the fact $G_1 \cup G_t \in Ex(p_1 + p_t; T_n^1)$.

For $p_1 = n-3$ and $p_t = n$, using Lemma 2.2 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} e(G_1) + e(G_t) = e(G_1) + e(G_t) &= \binom{n-3}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)n}{2}\right] \\ &\le \frac{2n^2 - 9n + 12}{2} < \binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{n-2}{2} = e(K_{n-1} \cup K_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact $G_1 \cup G_t \in Ex(p_1 + p_t; T_n^1)$. For $p_1 = n-3$ and $p_t \ge n+1$, using Lemma 2.2 we see that

$$e(G_1 \cup G_t) = e(G_1) + e(G_t) = \binom{n-3}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}\right] < \binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(p_t-2)}{2}\right].$$

Let $H_3 \in Ex(p_t - 2; K_{1,n-3})$. Then $K_{n-1} \cup H_3$ does not contain any copies of T_n^1 and $e(K_{n-1} \cup H_3) = \binom{n-1}{2} + [\frac{(n-4)(p_t-2)}{2}] > e(G_1 \cup G_t)$ by Lemma 2.1. This contradicts the fact $G_1 \cup G_t \in Ex(p_1 + p_t; T_n^1)$.

By the above, for $t \ge 2$ and $p_t \ge n$ we have $p_1 = p_2 = \cdots = p_{t-1} = n-1$. If $p_t \ge 2n-2$, setting $H_4 \in Ex(p_t - (n-1); K_{1,n-3})$ and then applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we find

$$e(G_t) = \left[\frac{(n-4)p_t}{2}\right] < \binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(p_t-(n-1))}{2}\right] = e(K_{n-1} \cup H_4).$$

This contradicts the fact $G_t \in Ex(p_t; T_n^1)$. Hence $n \le p_t < 2n-2$ and so $n-1 \nmid p$. Note that $p = k(n-1) + r = (k-1)(n-1) + n - 1 + r$ and $n \le n-1+r < 2n-2$. We see that $t = k$, $p_t = n-1+r$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e((k-1)K_{n-1}) + e(G_t) = (k-1)\binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(n-1+r)}{2}\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{(n-2)p}{2}\right] - (n-1+r) \quad \text{for } t \ge 2 \text{ and } p_t \ge n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Since $G \in Ex(p; T_n^1)$, by comparing (2.5), (2.8) and (2.9) we get

$$e(G) = \max\left\{ \left[\frac{(n-4)p}{2}\right], \frac{(n-2)p - r(n-1-r)}{2}, \left[\frac{(n-2)p}{2}\right] - (n-1+r) \right\}.$$

Observe that $p = k(n-1)+r \geq n-1+r$. We see that $[\frac{(n-4)p}{2}] = [\frac{(n-2)p}{2}] - p \leq [\frac{(n-2)p}{2}] - (n-1+r)$ and therefore

(2.10)

$$\begin{aligned} ex(p;T_n^1) = e(G) &= \max\Big\{\frac{(n-2)p-r(n-1-r)}{2}, \Big[\frac{(n-2)p}{2}\Big] - (n-1+r)\Big\} \\ &= \frac{(n-2)p-r(n-1-r)}{2} + \max\Big\{0, \Big[\frac{r(n-3-r)-2(n-1)}{2}\Big]\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $7 \leq n \leq 12$ we have

$$r(n-3-r)-2(n-1) \leq \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} - 2(n-1) = \frac{(n-7)^2-32}{4} < 0.$$

For $r \in \{0,1,2,n-5,n-4,n-3,n-2\}$ we see that $r(n-3-r)-2(n-1) < 0$. Suppose $n \geq 13$ and $3 \leq r \leq n-6$. For $13 \leq n \leq 15$ and $4 \leq r \leq n-7$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(n-3-r)-2(n-1) &= \frac{n^2-14n+17}{4} - \Big(r - \frac{n-3}{2}\Big)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{n^2-14n+17}{4} - \Big(4 - \frac{n-3}{2}\Big)^2 = 2n-26 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $13 \leq n \leq 15$ and $r \in \{3, n-6\}$, we have

$$r(n-3-r)-2(n-1) = 3(n-6)-2(n-1) = n-16 < 0.$$

For $n \geq 16$ and $3 \leq r \leq n-6$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(n-3-r)-2(n-1) &= \frac{n^2-14n+17}{4} - \Big(r - \frac{n-3}{2}\Big)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{n^2-14n+17}{4} - \Big(3 - \frac{n-3}{2}\Big)^2 = n-16 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now combining the above with (2.10) we deduce the result.

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq n \geq 5$ and $n-1 \nmid p$. Then $\frac{(n-2)p}{2} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{8} \leq ex(p, T_n^1) \leq \frac{(n-2)(p-1)}{2}$.*

Proof. Suppose $p = k(n-1)+r$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-2\}$. Then $r \geq 1$. Clearly $\frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \geq r(n-1-r) = (\frac{n-1}{2})^2 - (\frac{n-1}{2} - r)^2 \geq (\frac{n-1}{2})^2 - (\frac{n-1}{2} - 1)^2 = n-2$ and $n-1+r > \frac{n-2}{2}$. Thus, from Theorem 2.1 we deduce $ex(p, T_n^1) \leq \frac{(n-2)p-(n-2)}{2}$ and $ex(p, T_n^1) \geq \frac{(n-2)p-r(n-1-r)}{2} \geq \frac{(n-2)p-(n-1)^2/4}{2}$. This proves the corollary.

3. Evaluation of $ex(p;T_n^2)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq n \geq 7$ and $G \in Ex(p; T_n^2)$. Suppose that G is connected. Then $\Delta(G) \leq n-3$. Moreover, for $p < 2n-2$ we have $\Delta(G) \leq n-4$.*

Proof. Since a graph does not contain $K_{1,n-3}$ implies that the graph does not contain T_n^2, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$e(G) = ex(p; T_n^2) \geq ex(p; K_{1,n-3}) = \left\lceil \frac{(n-4)p}{2} \right\rceil. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that $v_0 \in V(G)$, $d(v_0) = \Delta(G) = m$ and $\Gamma(v_0) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$. If $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$, then $m = p-1 \geq n-1$. Since G does not contain T_n^2, we see that $G[v_1, \ldots, v_m]$ does not contain $K_{1,2}$ and hence $e(G[v_1, \ldots, v_m]) \leq \frac{m}{2}$. Therefore

$$e(G) = d(v_0) + e(G[v_1, \ldots, v_m]) \leq m + \frac{m}{2} = \frac{3(p-1)}{2} < \left\lceil \frac{(n-4)p}{2} \right\rceil.$$

This contradicts (3.1). Thus $p > m+1$. Suppose that $u_1, \ldots, u_t$ are all vertices such that $d(u_1, v_0) = \cdots = d(u_t, v_0) = 2$. Then $t \geq 1$. We may assume that $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ are all vertices in $\Gamma(v_0)$ adjacent to some vertex in the set $\{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$ without loss of generality. Then $1 \leq s \leq m$. Let $V_1 = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m\}, V_1' = V(G) - V_1$ and let $e(V_1 V_1')$ be the number of edges with one endpoint in V_1 and another endpoint in V_1'. Since G does not contain T_n^2, for $m \geq n-3$ each $v_i (1 \leq i \leq s)$ has one and only one adjacent vertex in the set $\{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$. Thus, for $m \geq n-3$ we must have $e(V_1 V_1') = s \geq t$.

If $m \geq n-1$, as G does not contain T_n^2 as a subgraph, we see that $d(v_i) \leq 2$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and so $e(G[V_1]) \leq m + \frac{m-s}{2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1 V_1') + e(G - V_1) \\ &\leq \frac{3m-s}{2} + s + e(G - V_1) \leq 2m + e(G - V_1). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $m+1 = k(n-1) + r$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq r \leq n-2$. Set $G_1 = kK_{n-1} \cup K_r$. Since $m+1 \geq n$, by (2.2) we have $e(G_1) \geq 2(m+1) - 1 > 2m$. Thus, $e(G_1 \cup (G - V_1)) = e(G_1) + e(G - V_1) > 2m + e(G - V_1) \geq e(G)$. As G_1 does not contain any copies of T_n^2 and G is an extremal graph, this is a contradiction. Hence $\Delta(G) = m \leq n-2$.

Suppose $m = n-2$. As G does not contain T_n^2 as a subgraph, we see that $\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ is an independent set and so $e(G[V_1]) \leq n - 2 + \binom{n-2-s}{2}$. Since $1 \leq s \leq m = n-2 \leq 2n-8$, we have $s^2 - (2n-7)s - (1 - (2n-7)) = (s-1)(s-(2n-8)) \leq 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1 V_1') + e(G - V_1) \\ &\leq \binom{n-2-s}{2} + n - 2 + s + e(G - V_1) \\ &= \frac{(n-2)(n-1) + s^2 - (2n-7)s}{2} + e(G - V_1) \\ &\leq \frac{(n-2)(n-1) + 1 - (2n-7)}{2} + e(G - V_1) \\ &< \binom{n-1}{2} + e(G - V_1) = e(K_{n-1} \cup (G - V_1)). \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible since G is an extremal graph. Therefore, $\Delta(G) = m \le n-3$.

By the above, $\Delta(G) \le n-3$. We first assume $\Delta(G) = n-3$. We claim that $d(v_i) \le n-4$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. If $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$ and $d(v_i) = n-3$, let u_j be the unique adjacent vertex of v_i in $\{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$ and let $V_2 = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-3}, u_j\}$. Then there is at most one adjacent vertex of u_j in $G - V_2$. Hence $e(G-V_1) \le 1 + e(G-V_2)$. Since each $v_r (1 \le r \le s)$ is adjacent to one and only one vertex in $\{u_1, \ldots, u_t\}$ and $\Delta(G[V_1]) \le n-3$, we see that

$$e(G[V_1]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in G[V_1]} d_{G[V_1]}(v) \le \frac{(n-2)(n-3)-s}{2}.$$

From the above we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1V_1') + e(G-V_1) = e(G[V_1]) + s + e(G-V_1) \\ &\le e(G[V_1]) + s + 1 + e(G-V_2) \le \frac{(n-2)(n-3)-s}{2} + s + 1 + e(G-V_2) \\ &= \frac{(n-2)(n-3)+s+2}{2} + e(G-V_2) \le \frac{(n-2)(n-3)+n-1}{2} + e(G-V_2) \\ &< \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} + e(G-V_2) = e(K_{n-1} \cup (G-V_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_{n-1} \cup (G-V_2)$ does not contain T_n^2 and G is an extremal graph, we get a contradiction. Hence the claim is true.

Now we assume $p < 2n-2$ and $p = n-1+r$. Then $1 \le r < n-1$. By the above, $\Delta(G) \le n-3$. Assume $\Delta(G) = n-3$. Then $d(v_i) \le n-4$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Clearly $|V(G-V_1)| = p-(n-2) = r+1 < n$, $\Delta(G-V_1) \le n-3$ and so $e(G-V_1) \le \min\{\binom{r+1}{2}, \frac{(r+1)(n-3)}{2}\}$. Since

$$e(G[V_1]) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} d_{G[V_1]}(v_i) \le \frac{s(n-5)+(n-2-s)(n-3)}{2} = \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} - s,$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1V_1') + e(G-V_1) \\ &\le \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} - s + s + \min\Big\{\frac{r(r+1)}{2}, \frac{(r+1)(n-3)}{2}\Big\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} + \binom{r+1}{2} & \text{if } \; r \le n-3 \\ \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} + \frac{(n-3)(n-1)}{2} & \text{if } \; r = n-2 \end{cases} \\ &< \binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{r}{2} = e(K_{n-1} \cup K_r). \end{aligned}$$

As G is an extremal graph, this is impossible. Thus, $\Delta(G) \le n-4$ for $p < 2n-2$. Now the proof of the lemma is complete.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq n \geq 7$ and $G \in Ex(p; T_n^2)$. Suppose that G is connected. Then $p < 2n-2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\Delta(G) \leq n-3$ and so $e(G) \leq \frac{(n-3)p}{2}$. Assume $p = k(n-1)+r$ with $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq r < n-1$. Let $G_1 \in Ex(n-1+r; K_{1,n-3})$. Then we have $e(G_1) = [\frac{(n-4)(n-1+r)}{2}]$ by Lemma 2.1. Hence, if $(k-2)(n-1)-r \geq 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} e((k-1)K_{n-1} \cup G_1) &= (k-1)\binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(n-1+r)}{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{(p-r-(n-1))(n-2)}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(n-1+r)}{2}\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{(n-3)p}{2} + \frac{p-2r-2(n-1)}{2}\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{(n-3)p}{2} + \frac{(k-2)(n-1)-r}{2}\right] > \left[\frac{(n-3)p}{2}\right] \geq e(G). \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible since $(k-1)K_{n-1} \cup G_1$ does not contain T_n^2 as a subgraph and $G \in Ex(p; T_n^2)$. Thus $(k-2)(n-1)-r \leq 1$. If $k = 3$ and $r = n-2$, then $p = 3(n-1)+n-2 = 4n-5$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &\leq \left[\frac{(n-3)p}{2}\right] \leq \frac{(n-3)(4n-5)}{2} = \frac{4n^2-17n+15}{2} < \frac{4n^2-14n+12}{2} \\ &= \frac{(n-2)(4n-6)}{2} = 3\binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{n-2}{2} = e(3K_{n-1} \cup K_{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $3K_{n-1} \cup K_{n-2}$ does not contain T_n^2 and $G \in Ex(p; T_n^2)$, we get a contradiction. Thus, from the above we deduce $k \leq 2$.

For $p = 2(n-1)+n-2$ we have

$$e(2K_{n-1} \cup K_{n-2}) = 2\binom{n-1}{2} + \binom{n-2}{2} > \frac{(n-3)p}{2} \geq e(G).$$

This contradicts the assumption $G \in Ex(p; T_n^2)$. Now we assume $p = 2(n-1)+r$ with $0 \leq r \leq n-3$. If $\Delta(G) = n-4$, then $e(G) \leq \frac{(n-4)p}{2}$. From previous argument we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(K_{n-1} \cup G_1) &= \binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-4)(n-1+r)}{2}\right] = \left[\frac{(n-3)p-r}{2}\right] \\ &= \left[\frac{(n-4)p}{2}\right] + n - 1 > \frac{(n-4)p}{2} \geq e(G). \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_{n-1} \cup G_1$ does not contain T_n^2 as a subgraph and $G \in Ex(p; T_n^2)$, we get a contradiction. Hence $\Delta(G) = n-3$. Suppose $v_0 \in V(G)$, $d(v_0) = n-3$, $\Gamma(v_0) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_{n-3}\}$, $V_1 = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-3}\}$ and $V_1' = V(G) - V_1$. Suppose that there are exactly s vertices in $\Gamma(v_0)$ adjacent to some vertex in V_1'. Then $1 \le s \le n-3$ and $e(G[V_1]) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-3} d_{G[V_1]}(v_i) \le \frac{(n-2)(n-3)-s}{2}$. Let $e(V_1V_1')$ be the number of edges with one endpoint in V_1 and another endpoint in V_1'. As G does not contain any copies of T_n^2, we see that $e(V_1V_1') = s$. Since $|V_1'| = p-(n-2) = n+r < 2n-2$, using Lemma 3.1 we have $\Delta(G-V_1) \le n-4$. Thus $e(G-V_1) \le \frac{(n-4)(n+r)}{2}$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1V_1') + e(G-V_1) \\ &\le \frac{(n-2)(n-3)-s}{2} + s + \frac{(n-4)(n+r)}{2} \\ &\le \frac{(n-2)(n-3)+n-3}{2} + \frac{(n-4)(n+r)}{2} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{2} + \frac{(n-1+r)(n-4)}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \\ &< \binom{n-1}{2} + \left[\frac{(n-1+r)(n-4)}{2}\right] = e(K_{n-1}\cup G_1). \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible since G is an extremal graph.

By the above we must have $k \le 1$ and so $p = k(n-1)+r < 2n-2$ as asserted.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p,n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \ge n \ge 7$ and $G \in Ex(p;T_n^2)$. Suppose that G is connected. Then $\Delta(G) = n-4$ and $e(G) = [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}]$.*

Proof. By (3.1) we have $e(G) \ge [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}]$. If $\Delta(G) \le n-5$, using Euler's theorem we see that $e(G) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{v\in V(G)} d(v) \le \frac{(n-5)p}{2}$. Hence $\frac{(n-4)p-1}{2} \le [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}] \le e(G) \le \frac{(n-5)p}{2}$. This is impossible. Thus $\Delta(G) \ge n-4$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we have $\Delta(G) \le n-4$. Therefore $\Delta(G) = n-4$ and so $e(G) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{v\in V(G)} d(v) \le \frac{(n-4)p}{2}$. Recall that $e(G) \ge [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}]$. We then get $e(G) = [\frac{(n-4)p}{2}]$ as asserted.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \ge 6$, $p = 5k+r$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le r \le 4$. Suppose that G is a graph of order p without T_6^2. Then $e(G) \le 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on p. For $p \le 5$ we have $e(G) \le \frac{p(p-1)}{2} = 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}$. Now suppose that $p \ge 6$ and the lemma is true for all graphs of order $p_0 < p$ without T_6^2. If $\Delta(G) \le 3$, then $e(G) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{v\in V(G)} d(v) \le \frac{3p}{2} \le 2p-3 \le 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}$.

Now suppose $\Delta(G) = m \ge 4$, $v_0 \in V(G)$, $d(v_0) = m$ and $\Gamma(v_0) = \{v_1,\ldots,v_m\}$. If $m = p-1$, then $m \ge 5$, $V(G) = \{v_0,v_1,\ldots,v_m\}$ and $d(v_i) \le 2$ for $i = 1,2,\ldots,m$. Thus, $e(G) \le m + \frac{m}{2} = \frac{3(p-1)}{2} < 2p-3 \le 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}$. Now suppose $4 \le m < p-1$, $u_1 \in V(G)$ and $\Gamma(u_1) = \{v_1,w_1,\ldots,w_t\}$. Then $v_1v_i \notin E(G)$ for $i = 2,3,\ldots,m$. Let $V_1 = \{v_0,v_1,\ldots,v_m\}$, $V_1' = V(G) - V_1$ and $e(V_1V_1')$ be the number of edges with one endpoint in V_1 and another endpoint in V_1'. For $m = 4$ we see that $e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1V_1') \le 7+1 = 4+4 = 8$. For $m \ge 5$ we see that $d_G(v_i) \le 2$ for $i = 1,2,\ldots,m$ and so $e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1V_1') \le \sum_{i=1}^m d_G(v_i) \le 2m$. Hence, for $m \ge 4$,

$$e(G) = e(G[V_1]) + e(V_1V_1') + e(G[V_1']) \le 2m + e(G[V_1']).$$

By the inductive hypothesis, $e(G[V_1']) \le 2(p-m-1)-\frac{r_1(5-r_1)}{2}$, where $r_1 \in \{0,1,2,3,4\}$ is given by $p-m-1 \equiv r_1 \pmod 5$. Thus,

$$e(G) \le 2m + 2(p-m-1) - \frac{r_1(5-r_1)}{2} = 2p - 2 - \frac{r_1(5-r_1)}{2}.$$

For $r_1 \ge 1$ we have $e(G) \le 2p-2-2 < 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}$. For $r_1 = 0$ and $r = 1,4$ we have $e(G) \le 2p-2 = 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}$. Therefore, we only need to consider the case $p \equiv m+1 \equiv 2,3 \pmod 5$.

Now assume $p \equiv m+1 \equiv 2,3 \pmod 5$. Then $m \ge 6$. Set $V_2 = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m, u_1\}$ and $V_2' = V(G) - V_2$. Since $d_G(v_i) \le 2$ for $i = 1,2,\ldots,m$, we see that

$$e(G) = e(G[V_2]) + e(V_2V_2') + e(G[V_2']) \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_G(v_i) + t + e(G[V_2']) \le 2m + t + e(G[V_2']).$$

Note that $p-m-2 \equiv 4 \pmod 5$ and $e(G[V_2']) \le 2(p-m-2) - \frac{4(5-4)}{2}$. We then have $e(G) \le 2m+t+2(p-m-2)-2 = 2p+t-6$. For $t \le 3$ we get $e(G) \le 2p+t-6 \le 2p-3 = 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}$.

Now suppose $t \ge 4$. Set $V_3 = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_m, u_1, w_1, \ldots, w_t\}$ and $V_3' = V(G) - V_3$. Since $d_G(v_i) \le 2$ for $i = 1,2,\ldots,m$ and $d_G(w_j) \le 2$ for $j = 1,2,\ldots,t$, using the inductive hypothesis we see that

$$\begin{aligned} e(G) &= e(G[V_3]) + e(V_3V_3') + e(G[V_3']) \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} d_G(v_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{t} d_G(w_j) + e(G[V_3']) \\ &\le 2m + 2t + e(G[V_3']) = 2(m+2+t) - 4 + e(G[V_3']) \\ &\le 2(m+2+t) - 4 + 2(p-m-2-t) = 2p-4 < 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the above, the lemma is proved by induction.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \ge n-1 \ge 4$, $p = k(n-1)+r$, *where* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $r \in \{0,1,\ldots,n-2\}$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} ex(p;T_n^2) &= \max\Big\{\Big[\frac{(n-2)p}{2}\Big] - (n-1+r), \frac{(n-2)p - r(n-1-r)}{2}\Big\} \\ &= \begin{cases} [\frac{(n-2)p}{2}] - (n-1+r) & \text{if } n \ge 16 \text{ and } 3 \le r \le n-6 \text{ or if} \\ & \quad 13 \le n \le 15 \text{ and } 4 \le r \le n-7, \\ \frac{(n-2)p - r(n-1-r)}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly $ex(n-1;T_n^2) = e(K_{n-1}) = \frac{(n-2)(n-1)}{2}$. Thus the result is true for $p = n-1$. Now we assume $p \ge n$. Since $T_5^2 \cong T_5'$, taking $n = 5$ in [SW, Theorem 3.1] we obtain the result in the case $n = 5$. For $p = 5k+r$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \le r \le 4$ we see that

$$ex(p;T_6^2) \ge e(kK_5 \cup K_r) = 10k + \frac{r(r-1)}{2} = 2p - \frac{r(5-r)}{2}.$$

This together with Lemma 3.4 gives the result in the case $n = 6$. Using Lemmas 3.3, 2.3 and replacing T_n^1 with T_n^2 in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we deduce the result for $n \ge 7$.

Corollary 3.1. *Suppose* $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq n \geq 5$ *and* $n-1 \nmid p$. *Then* $\frac{(n-2)p}{2} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{8} \leq ex(p, T_n^2) \leq \frac{(n-2)(p-1)}{2}$.

4. The Ramsey number $r(T_n^i, T_n)$.

Lemma 4.1 ([Su, Lemma 2.1]). *Let* G_1 *and* G_2 *be two graphs. Suppose* $p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq max\{|V(G_1)|, |V(G_2)|\}$ *and* $ex(p; G_1) + ex(p; G_2) < \binom{p}{2}$. *Then* $r(G_1, G_2) \leq p$.

Proof. Let G be a graph of order p. If $e(G) \leq ex(p; G_1)$ and $e(\overline{G}) \leq ex(p; G_2)$, then

$$ex(p; G_1) + ex(p; G_2) \geq e(G) + e(\overline{G}) = \binom{p}{2}.$$

This contradicts the assumption. Hence, either $e(G) > ex(p; G_1)$ or $e(\overline{G}) > ex(p; G_2)$. Therefore, G contains a copy of G_1 or $\overline{G}$ contains a copy of G_2. This shows that $r(G_1, G_2) \leq |V(G)| = p$. So the lemma is proved.

Lemma 4.2 ([Su, Lemma 2.3]). *Let* G_1 *and* G_2 *be two graphs with* $\Delta(G_1) = d_1 \geq 2$ *and* $\Delta(G_2) = d_2 \geq 2$. *Then*

(i) $r(G_1, G_2) \geq d_1 + d_2 - (1 - (-1)^{(d_1-1)(d_2-1)})/2$.

(ii) *Suppose that* G_1 *is a connected graph of order* m *and* $d_1 < d_2 \leq m$. *Then* $r(G_1, G_2) \geq 2d_2 - 1 \geq d_1 + d_2$.

(iii) *If* G_1 *is a connected graph of order* m, $d_1 \neq m-1$ *and* $d_2 > m$, *then* $r(G_1, G_2) \geq d_1 + d_2$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

(i) *If* n *is odd with* $n \geq 17$, *then* $r(T_n^i, T_n^j) = 2n - 7$.

(ii) *If* n *is even with* $n \geq 12$, *then* $r(T_n^i, T_n^j) = 2n - 6$.

Proof. Suppose $n \geq 12$. Since $\Delta(T_n^i) = \Delta(T_n^j) = n - 3$, from Lemma 4.2 we know that $r(T_n^i, T_n^j) \geq 2n - 7$ for odd n, and $r(T_n^i, T_n^j) \geq 2n - 6$ for even n. If n is odd with $n \geq 17$, using Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 (with $k = 1$ and $r = n - 6$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} ex(2n-7; T_n^i) &= \Big[\frac{(n-2)(2n-9)}{2}\Big] - (n-5) = \Big[\frac{2n^2 - 15n + 28}{2}\Big] \\ &< \frac{2n^2 - 15n + 28}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\binom{2n-7}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and so $ex(2n - 7; T_n^i) + ex(2n - 7; T_n^j) < \binom{2n-7}{2}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1 we have $r(T_n^i, T_n^j) \leq 2n - 7$ and hence (i) is true. If n is even, using Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 (with $k = 1$ and $r = n - 5$) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} ex(2n-6; T_n^i) &= \frac{(n-2)(2n-6) - 4(n-5)}{2} = n^2 - 7n + 16 \\ &< n^2 - \frac{13}{2}n + \frac{21}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\binom{2n-6}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and so $ex(2n - 6; T_n^i) + ex(2n - 6; T_n^j) < \binom{2n-6}{2}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1 we have $r(T_n^i, T_n^j) \leq 2n - 6$ and hence $r(T_n^i, T_n^j) = 2n - 6$. Thus (ii) is true and the theorem is proved.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 7$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let G_n be a connected graph on n vertices such that $ex(2n-5; G_n) < n^2 - 5n + 4$. Then $r(T_n^i, G_n) \leq 2n - 5$.*

Proof. By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 we have

$$ex(2n-5; T_n^i) = \frac{(n-2)(2n-5) - 3(n-4)}{2} = n^2 - 6n + 11.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} ex(2n-5; G_n) + ex(2n-5; T_n^i) &< n^2 - 5n + 4 + n^2 - 6n + 11 \\ &= 2n^2 - 11n + 15 = \binom{2n-5}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Appealing to Lemma 4.1 we obtain $r(T_n^i, G_n) \leq 2n - 5$.

Lemma 4.4 ([SW, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \geq n \geq 5$. Let $r \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-2\}$ be given by $p \equiv r \pmod{n-1}$. Then*

$$ex(p; T_n') = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{(n-2)(p-1)-r-1}{2}\right] & \text{if } n \geq 7 \text{ and } 2 \leq r \leq n-4, \\ \frac{(n-2)p - r(n-1-r)}{2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 8$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $r(T_n^i, T_n') = r(T_n^i, T_n^*) = 2n-5$.*

Proof. Let $T_n \in \{T_n', T_n^*\}$. Since $2K_{n-3}$ does not contain any copies of T_n^i and $\overline{2K_{n-3}} = K_{n-3,n-3}$ does not contain any copies of T_n, we see that $r(T_n^i, T_n) \geq 1 + 2(n-3) = 2n-5$. Taking $p = 2n-5$ and $r = n-4$ in Lemma 4.4 we find

$$ex(2n-5; T_n') = \frac{(n-2)(2n-6) - (n-4) - 1}{2} = n^2 - \frac{11}{2}n + \frac{15}{2} < n^2 - 5n + 4.$$

By [SW, Theorem 4.1],

$$ex(2n-5; T_n^*) = \frac{(n-2)(2n-5) - 3(n-4)}{2} = n^2 - 6n + 11 < n^2 - 5n + 4.$$

Thus, applying Lemma 4.3 we obtain $r(T_n^i, T_n) \leq 2n-5$. Hence $r(T_n^i, T_n) = 2n - 5$. This proves the theorem.

Remark 4.1 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 4$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. From [GV, Theorem 3.1(ii)] we know that $r(K_{1,n-1}, T_n^i) = 2n - 3$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $r(P_n, T_n^i) = 2n - 7$ for $n \geq 17$, $r(P_{n-1}, T_n^i) = 2n - 7$ for $n \geq 13$, $r(P_{n-2}, T_n^i) = 2n - 7$ for $n \geq 11$ and $r(P_{n-3}, T_n^i) = 2n - 7$ for $n \geq 8$.*

Proof. Suppose $n \geq 8$ and $s \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. From Lemma 4.2(ii) we have $r(P_{n-s}, T_n^i) \geq 2(n-3) - 1 = 2n - 7$. By (1.1) we have

$$ex(2n-7; P_{n-s}) = \begin{cases} \frac{(n-2)(2n-7)-5(n-6)}{2} = \frac{(n-4)(2n-7)+16-n}{2} & \text{if } s = 0, \\ \frac{(n-3)(2n-7)-3(n-5)}{2} = \frac{(n-4)(2n-7)+8-n}{2} & \text{if } s = 1, \\ \frac{(n-4)(2n-7)-(n-4)}{2} & \text{if } s = 2, \\ \frac{(n-5)(2n-7)-(n-5)}{2} = \frac{(n-4)(2n-7)+12-3n}{2} & \text{if } s = 3. \end{cases}$$

By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, we have

$$ex(2n-7;T_n^i)=\begin{cases}[\frac{(n-4)(2n-7)}{2}] & \text{if } n\ge 16,\\ \frac{(n-2)(2n-7)-5(n-6)}{2}=\frac{(n-4)(2n-7)+16-n}{2} & \text{if } n<16.\end{cases}$$

If $s=0$ and $n\ge 17$, if $s=1$ and $n\ge 13$, if $s=2$ and $n\ge 11$, or if $s=3$ and $n\ge 8$, from the above we find

$$ex(2n-7;P_{n-s})+ex(2n-7;T_n^i)<\binom{2n-7}{2}$$

and so $r(P_{n-s},T_n^i)\le 2n-7$ by Lemma 4.1. This completes the proof.

5. The Ramsey number $r(T_m^i,T_n)$ for $m<n$.

The following two propositions are known.

Proposition 5.1 (Burr[B]). *Let $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $m\ge 3$ and $m-1\mid(n-2)$. Let T_m be a tree on m vertices. Then $r(T_m,K_{1,n-1})=m+n-2$.*

Proposition 5.2 (Guo and Volkmann [GV, Theorem 3.1]). *Let $m,n\in\mathbb{N},m\ge 3$ and $n=k(m-1)+b$ with $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $b\in\{0,1,\dots,m-2\}-\{2\}$. Let T_m be a tree on m vertices. Then $r(T_m,K_{1,n-1})\le m+n-3$. Moreover, if $k\ge m-b$, then $r(T_m,K_{1,n-1})=m+n-3$.*

Lemma 5.1 ([H, Theorem 8.3, pp.11-12]). *Let $a,b,n\in\mathbb{N}$. If a is coprime to b and $n\ge(a-1)(b-1)$, then there are two nonnegative integers x and y such that $n=ax+by$.*

Theorem 5.1. *Let $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, $n>m\ge 5$, $m-1\nmid(n-2)$ and $i\in\{1,2\}$. Then $r(T_m^i,K_{1,n-1})=m+n-3$ or $m+n-4$. Moreover, if $n\ge(m-3)^2+1$ or $m+n-4=(m-1)x+(m-2)y$ for some nonnegative integers x and y, then $r(T_m,K_{1,n-1})=m+n-3$ for any tree T_m of order m.*

Proof. Let T_m be a tree on m vertices. From Proposition 5.2 we know that $r(T_m,K_{1,n-1})\le m+n-3$. By Lemma 4.2 we have $r(T_m^i,K_{1,n-1})\ge m-3+n-1$. Thus, $r(T_m^i,K_{1,n-1})=m+n-3$ or $m+n-4$. If $n\ge(m-3)^2+1$, then $m+n-4\ge(m-2)(m-3)$ and so $m+n-4=(m-1)x+(m-2)y$ for some nonnegative integers x and y by Lemma 5.1. If $m+n-4=(m-1)x+(m-2)y$ for $x,y\in\{0,1,2,\dots\}$, setting $G=xK_{m-1}\cup yK_{m-2}$ we see that G does not contain any copies of T_m and $\overline{G}$ does not contain any copies of $K_{1,n-1}$. Thus $r(T_m,K_{1,n-1})\ge 1+|V(G)|=m+n-3$. Now putting all the above together we prove the theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, $m\ge 5$, $m-1\mid(n-3)$ and $i\in\{1,2\}$. Then $r(T_m^i,T_n')=m+n-3$.*

Proof. By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1,

$$ex(m+n-3;T_m^i)=\frac{(m-2)(m+n-3)-(m-2)}{2}<\frac{(m-2)(m+n-3)}{2}.$$

Thus applying [Su, Theorem 5.1] we obtain the result.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose* $i \in \{1,2\}$, $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > m \geq 5$ *and* $m-1 \nmid (n-3)$. *Then* $m+n-5 \leq r(T_m^i, T_n') \leq m+n-4$ *and* $m+n-6 \leq r(T_m^i, T_n^*) \leq m+n-4$. *Moreover, if* $n = k(m-1)+b = q(m-2)+a$, $k,q \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \{0,1,\dots,m-3\}$, $b \in \{0,1,\dots,m-2\}$ *and one of the conditions*

(1) $b \in \{1,2,4\}$,
(2) $b = 0$ *and* $k \geq 3$,
(3) $n \geq (m-3)^2+2$,
(4) $n \geq m^2-1-b(m-2)$,
(5) $a \geq 3$ *and* $n \geq (a-4)(m-1)+4$

holds, then $r(T_m^i, T_n^*) = r(T_m^i, T_n') = m+n-4$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 we have $r(T_m^i, T_n') \geq m-3+n-2$ and $r(T_m^i, T_n^*) \geq m-3+n-3$. Since $m-1 \nmid (n-3)$, we have $m-1 \nmid (m+n-4)$. From Corollaries 2.1 and 3.1 we have $ex(m+n-4; T_m^i) \leq \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$. Hence, by [Su, Lemma 5.1] we have $r(T_m^i, T_n') \leq m+n-4$, by [Su, Lemma 4.1] we have $r(T_m^i, T_n^*) \leq m+n-4$. Now applying [Su, Theorems 4.3 and 5.3] we deduce the remaining result.

6. The Ramsey number $r(G_m, T_n^j)$ for $m < n$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 5$, $n \geq 8$, $n > m$ *and* $j \in \{1,2\}$. *Then* $r(K_{1,m-1}, T_n^j) = m+n-4$ *or* $m+n-5$. *Moreover, if* $2 \mid mn$, *then* $r(K_{1,m-1}, T_n^j) = m+n-4$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.2 we have $r(K_{1,m-1}, T_n^j) \geq m-1+n-3-(1-(-1)^{(m-2)(n-4)})/2 = m+n-4-(1-(-1)^{mn})/2$. Thus, it suffices to prove that $r(K_{1,m-1}, T_n^j) \leq m+n-4$. By Lemma 2.1, $ex(m+n-4; K_{1,m-1}) = [\frac{(m-2)(m+n-4)}{2}]$. By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1,

$$ex(m+n-4; T_n^j) = \Big[\frac{(n-4)(m+n-4)}{2}\Big] \text{ or } \frac{(n-2)(m+n-4)-(m-3)(n-m+2)}{2}.$$

Since

$$\Big[\frac{(m-2)(m+n-4)}{2}\Big] + \Big[\frac{(n-4)(m+n-4)}{2}\Big] \leq \frac{(m+n-6)(m+n-4)}{2} < \binom{m+n-4}{2}$$

and

$$\frac{(m-2)(m+n-4)}{2} + \frac{(n-2)(m+n-4)-(m-3)(n-m+2)}{2} = \frac{(m+n-4)(m+n-5)-(m-4)(n-m-\frac{2}{m-4})}{2} < \binom{m+n-4}{2},$$

we see that $ex(m+n-4; K_{1,m-1}) + ex(m+n-4; T_n^j) < \binom{m+n-4}{2}$ and so $r(K_{1,m-1}, T_n^j) \leq m+n-4$ by Lemma 4.1. This completes the proof.

Conjecture 6.1. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 5$, $n \geq 8$, $n > m$, $2 \nmid mn$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then $r(K_{1,m-1}, T_n^j) = m + n - 4$.*

Theorem 6.2. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 4$, $n \geq 7$, $m - 1 \mid (n - 4)$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$.*

(i) *If G_m is a connected graph of order m with $ex(m+n-4; G_m) \leq \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$, then $r(G_m, T_n^j) = m + n - 4$.*

(ii) *We have $r(T_m', T_n^j) = m + n - 4$ for $m \geq 5$, $r(T_m^*, T_n^j) = m + n - 4$ for $m \geq 6$, $r(T_m^i, T_n^j) = m + n - 4$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $m \geq 5$, and $r(P_m, T_n^j) = m + n - 4$.*

Proof. Set $t = (n - 4)/(m - 1)$. Suppose that G_m is a connected graph of order m with $ex(m + n - 4; G_m) \leq \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$. Then clearly $\Delta((t + 1)K_{m-1}) = m - 2$ and $\Delta(\overline{(t + 1)K_{m-1}}) = t(m - 1) = n - 4$. Thus, $(t + 1)K_{m-1}$ does not contain any copies of G_m and $\overline{(t + 1)K_{m-1}}$ does not contain any copies of T_n^i. Hence $r(G_m, T_n^i) \geq 1 + (t + 1)(m - 1) = m + n - 4$. By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, we have

$$ex(m+n-4; T_n^j) = \Big[\frac{(n-4)(m+n-4)}{2}\Big] \text{ or } \frac{(n-2)(m+n-4) - (m-3)(n-m+2)}{2}.$$

If $ex(m + n - 4; T_n^j) = [\frac{(n-4)(m+n-4)}{2}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &ex(m + n - 4; G_m) + ex(m + n - 4; T_n^i) \\ &\leq \frac{(m - 2)(m + n - 5) + (n - 4)(m + n - 4)}{2} < \binom{m + n - 4}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $ex(m + n - 4; T_n^j) = \frac{(n-2)(m+n-4)-(m-3)(n-m+2)}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &ex(m + n - 4; G_m) + ex(m + n - 4; T_n^j) \\ &\leq \frac{(m - 2)(m + n - 5) + (n - 2)(m + n - 4) - (m - 3)(n - m + 2)}{2} \\ &= \binom{m + n - 4}{2} - \frac{(m - 4)(n - m + 1)}{2} < \binom{m + n - 4}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 we always have $r(G_m, T_n^j) \leq m+n-4$ and hence $r(G_m, T_n^j) = m + n - 4$. This proves (i).

Let us consider (ii). By (1.1), we have $ex(m + n - 4; P_m) = \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$. By Lemma 4.4 we have $ex(m + n - 4; T_m') = \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$ for $m \geq 5$. By [SW, Theorem 4.2], $ex(m + n - 4; T_m^*) = \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$ for $m \geq 6$. By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, $ex(m + n - 4; T_m^i) = \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $m \geq 5$. Thus from (i) and the above we deduce (ii). The proof is now complete.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 7$ and $m - 1 \nmid (n - 4)$. Assume $n = m + 1 \geq 12$ or $n \geq \max\{m + 2, 19 - m\}$.*

(i) *If G_m is a connected graph of order m with $ex(m + n - 4; G_m) \leq \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$, then $r(G_m, T_n^j) \leq m + n - 5$.*

(ii) *For* $T_m \in \{P_m, T_m', T_m^*, T_m^1, T_m^2\}$ *we have* $r(T_m, T_n^j) \le m+n-5$.

Proof. Since $m+n-5 = n-1+m-4$, by Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 we have

$$ex(m+n-5; T_n^j) = \Big[\frac{(n-4)(m+n-5)}{2}\Big] \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(n-2)(m+n-5)-(m-4)(n-1-(m-4))}{2}.$$

If $n = m+1$, then $(m-4)(n-3-(m-4)) = 2(n-5)$. If $n \ge m+2$, then $3 \le m-4 \le n-6$ and so $(m-4)(n-3-(m-4)) = (\frac{n-3}{2})^2 - (m-4-\frac{n-3}{2})^2 \ge (\frac{n-3}{2})^2 - (n-6-\frac{n-3}{2})^2 = 3(n-6)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(n-4)(m+n-5)+m-2}{2} - \frac{(n-2)(m+n-5)-(m-4)(n-1-(m-4))}{2}\\ &= \frac{(m-4)(n-3-(m-4))-2n+m}{2}\\ &\ge \begin{cases} \frac{2(n-5)-2n+m}{2} = \frac{m-10}{2} > 0 & \text{if } n = m+1 \ge 12,\\ \frac{3(n-6)-2n+m}{2} = \frac{n-10+m-8}{2} > 0 & \text{if } n \ge \max\{m+2, 19-m\}.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from the above we deduce that

$$ex(m+n-5; T_n^j) < \frac{(n-4)(m+n-5)+m-2}{2}. \tag{6.1}$$

Hence, if G_m is a connected graph of order m with $ex(m+n-4; G_m) \le \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&ex(m+n-5; G_m) + ex(m+n-5; T_n^i)\\ &< \frac{(m-2)(m+n-6)}{2} + \frac{(n-4)(m+n-5)+m-2}{2} = \binom{m+n-5}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now applying Lemma 4.1 we obtain (i).

Now we consider (ii). Since $m-1 \nmid (m+n-5)$, by Corollaries 2.1 and 3.1 we have $ex(m+n-5; T_m^i) \le \frac{(m-2)(m+n-6)}{2}$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$. By (1.1), we have $ex(m+n-5; P_m) \le \frac{(m-2)(m+n-6)}{2}$. By Lemma 4.4 we have $ex(m+n-5; T_m') \le \frac{(m-2)(m+n-6)}{2}$. By [SW, Theorems 4.1-4.5] we have $ex(m+n-5; T_m^*) \le \frac{(m-2)(m+n-6)}{2}$. Thus, from the above and (i) we deduce (ii). This proves the lemma.

Theorem 6.3. *Let* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $j \in \{1,2\}$. *Then*

$$r(T_m', T_{m+1}^j) = \begin{cases} 2m-4 & \text{if } 2 \nmid m \text{ and } m \ge 9,\\ 2m-5 & \text{if } 2 \mid m \text{ and } m \ge 16.\end{cases}$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge 7$, $n \ge \max\{m+2, 19-m\}$ *and* $m-1 \nmid (n-4)$, *then* $r(T_m', T_n^j) = m+n-5$.

Proof. We first assume $2 \nmid m$ and $m \geq 9$. By Lemma 4.2, $r(T'_m, T^j_{m+1}) \geq m-2+m-2 = 2m-4$. By Lemma 4.4, $ex(2m-4; T'_m) = \frac{(m-2)(2m-4)-2(m-3)}{2} = m^2-5m+7$. By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, $ex(2m-4; T^j_{m+1}) = \frac{(m-1)(2m-4)-4(m-4)}{2} = m^2-5m+10$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & ex(2m-4; T'_m) + ex(2m-4; T^j_{m+1}) \\ & = m^2-5m+7+m^2-5m+10 = 2m^2-10m+17 < 2m^2-9m+10 = \binom{2m-4}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.1 we obtain $r(T'_m, T^j_{m+1}) \leq 2m-4$ and so $r(T'_m, T^j_{m+1}) = 2m-4$.

Now we assume $2 \mid m$ and $m \geq 16$. By Lemma 4.2, $r(T'_m, T^j_{m+1}) \geq m-2+m-2-1 = 2m-5$. By Lemma 4.4, $ex(2m-5; T'_m) = [\frac{(m-2)(2m-6)-(m-3)}{2}] = [\frac{2m^2-11m+15}{2}] = m^2-\frac{11m}{2}+7$. By Theorems 2.1 and 3.1, $ex(2m-5; T^j_{m+1}) = [\frac{(m-1)(2m-5)}{2}]-(2m-5) = [\frac{2m^2-11m+15}{2}] = m^2-\frac{11m}{2}+7$. Thus,

$$ex(2m-5; T'_m) + ex(2m-5; T^j_{m+1}) = 2m^2-11m+14 < 2m^2-11m+15 = \binom{2m-5}{2}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.1 we obtain $r(T'_m, T^j_{m+1}) \leq 2m-5$ and so $r(T'_m, T^j_{m+1}) = 2m-5$.

Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 7$ and $n \geq \max\{m+2, 19-m\}$. By Lemma 6.1 we have $r(T'_m, T^j_n) \leq m+n-5$. By Lemma 4.2 we have $r(T'_m, T^j_n) \geq m-2+n-3$. Thus, $r(T'_m, T^j_n) = m+n-5$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $j \in \{1,2\}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 7$ and $m-1 \nmid (n-4)$. Suppose $n = m+1 \geq 12$ or $n \geq \max\{m+2, 19-m\}$. Assume that $G_m \in \{P_m, T^*_m, T^1_m, T^2_m\}$ or G_m is a connected graph of order m with $ex(m+n-4; G_m) \leq \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$. If $n \geq (m-3)^2+3$ or $m+n-6 = (m-1)x+(m-2)y$ for some nonnegative integers x and y, then $r(G_m, T^j_n) = m+n-5$.*

Proof. If $n \geq (m-3)^2+3$, then $m+n-6 \geq (m-2)(m-3)$ and so $m+n-6 = (m-1)x+(m-2)y$ for some $x, y \in \{0,1,2,\dots\}$ by Lemma 5.1. Now suppose $m+n-6 = (m-1)x+(m-2)y$, where $x, y \in \{0,1,2,\dots\}$. Set $G = xK_{m-1} \cup yK_{m-2}$. Then $\Delta(G) \leq m-1$ and $\Delta(\overline{G}) \leq n-4$. Thus, G does not contain any copies of G_m and $\overline{G}$ does not contain any copies of T^i_n. Hence $r(G_m, T^j_n) \geq 1+|V(G)| = m+n-5$. On the other hand, by Lemma 6.1 we have $r(G_m, T^j_n) \leq m+n-5$. Thus $r(G_m, T^j_n) = m+n-5$. This proves the theorem.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 7$, $m-1 \mid (n-b)$, $b \in \{2,3,5\}$, $n \geq \max\{m+2, 19-m\}$ and $j \in \{1,2\}$. Assume that $G_m \in \{P_m, T^*_m, T^1_m, T^2_m\}$ or G_m is a connected graph of order m with $ex(m+n-4; G_m) \leq \frac{(m-2)(m+n-5)}{2}$. Then $r(G_m, T^j_n) = m+n-5$.*

Proof. Set $k = (n-b)/(m-1)$. Then $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $b = 2$ we have $k \geq 2$. Since

$$m+n-6 = \begin{cases} (k-2)(m-1)+3(m-2) & \text{if } b=2, \\ (k-1)(m-1)+2(m-2) & \text{if } b=3, \\ (k+1)(m-1) & \text{if } b=5, \end{cases}$$

the result follows from Theorem 6.4.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \ge 12$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$. Then*

$$r(T_m^i, T_{m+1}^j) = r(T_m^*, T_{m+1}^j) = 2m - 5.$$

Proof. Let $T_m \in \{T_m^i, T_m^*\}$. By Theorems 2.1, 3.1 and [SW, Theorem 4.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} ex(2m-5; T_m) &= \frac{(m-2)(2m-5) - 3(m-4)}{2}, \\ ex(2m-5; T_{m+1}^j) &= \frac{(m-1)(2m-5) - 5(m-5)}{2} \text{ or } \Big[\frac{(m-3)(2m-5)}{2}\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(m-2)(2m-5) - 3(m-4)}{2} + \frac{(m-3)(2m-5)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(2m-5)(2m-6) + 7 - m}{2} < \binom{2m-5}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(m-2)(2m-5) - 3(m-4)}{2} + \frac{(m-1)(2m-5) - 5(m-5)}{2} \\ &= 2m^2 - 12m + 26 < 2m^2 - 11m + 15 = \binom{2m-5}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $ex(2m-5; T_m) + ex(2m-5; T_{m+1}^j) < \binom{2m-5}{2}$. Hence, from Lemma 4.1 we deduce $r(T_m, T_{m+1}^j) \le 2m-5$. Since $\Delta(T_m) = m-3$ and $\Delta(T_{m+1}^j) = m-2$, by Lemma 4.2 we have $r(T_m, T_{m+1}^j) \ge m - 3 + m - 2 = 2m - 5$. Hence $r(T_m, T_{m+1}^j) = 2m - 5$. This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

[B] S.A. Burr, *Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs–a survey, in Graphs and Combinatorics, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 406 (ed. R.A. Bari and F. Harary)*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1974, pp. 52-75.

[BR] S.A. Burr and J.A. Roberts, *On Ramsey numbers for stars*, Utilitas math. **4** (1973), 217-220.

[FS] R.J. Faudree and R.H. Schelp, *Path Ramsey Numbers in Multicolorings*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, **19** (1975), 150-160.

[GV] Y.B. Guo and L. Volkmann, *Three-Ramsey Numbers*, Austrasian J. Combin. **11** (1995), 169-175.

[H] L.K. Hua, *Introduction to Number Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1982.

[R] S.P. Radziszowski, *Small Ramsey numbers*, Dynamic Surveys of Electronic J. Combinatorics (2011), DS1, 84pp.

[Si] A.F. Sidorenko, *Asymptotic solution for a new class of forbidden r-graphs*, Combinatorica **9** (1989), 207-215.

[Su] Z.H. Sun, *Ramsey numbers for trees*, preprint, arXiv:1103.2685v4. http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.2685.

[SW] Z.H. Sun and L.L.Wang, *Turán's problem for trees*, J. Combin. and Number Theory **3** (2011), no. 1.

[W] M. Woźniak, *On the Erdös-Sós conjecture*, J. Graph Theory **21** (1996), 229-234.